



VIOLENT BRITAIN

- The symptoms
- The causes
- The only remedy

IT IS COMMON KNOWLEDGE that Britain has now become one of the most violent countries in the world — certainly one of the most violent among the advanced nations. The rate of violent crime has rocketed to a level never known before. IRA terrorism continues to flourish, despite the pious condemnation made of it by our politicians — condemnation never backed by action which matches the talk. Violence on the picket lines during the long, drawn-out coal dispute — culminating in the recent murder of a taxi driver taking a non-striking miner to work — has underlined the viciousness and thuggery that have now come to rule the roost in a large part of Britain's trade union movement.

Not so very long ago Britons were pleased to regard their country as one of the most peaceful in the world. We tended to look rather superciliously at other nations, where violence and disorder were rife. Not any longer. Now the boot is on the other foot. Many of those nations we looked at in this way now look with pity at us, for the level of violence in our society has overtaken the level of theirs.

Everyone, except a small and nasty few, want to see this escalation of violence stopped. But one government after another seems powerless to stop it. Why?

It we look back into the history of violence in advanced countries (violence in primitive countries is an entirely different thing and not our concern here) we can see certain common causes, which repeat themselves again and again, as in the case of revolutionary violence in France up till and after 1789 and in Russia up till and after 1917.

SUBVERSIVE FORCES AT WORK

In the first place there are subversive forces at work, aiming at the total overthrow of society and the destruction of the nation. These should not be confused with the forces, which always need to be present in any healthy body politic, which stand for constructive reform within a patriotic framework. Reformist movements are not necessarily subversive; subversion exists when the demand for reform is cynically and ruthlessly exploited by people who are interested, not in securing better conditions for the people, but in the achievement of national ruin. These elements were present in France and Russia prior to the revolution in those countries and they — not the common people — were the ones who profited from those revolutions. We can see their counterparts present in Britain today in the sinister forces which engineered the race riots in 1981 and today engage in acts of intimidation in the miners' dispute.

It is a noticeable feature of these subversive forces that invariably they include many aliens — people not indigenous to the country in which they promote subversion. The revolutionary mobs running riot in Paris in 1789 were observed by historians of the time to contain large numbers of foreigners. In Russia in 1917 the cadres of the bolshevik uprising were predominantly led, not by Russians, but by Jews, many of whom were not even born in Russia. In addition to Jews, there were also a disproportionate number of representatives of the Asiatic regions of the Russian Empire, notably the Georgian Joseph Djughashvili, who changed his name to Stalin to pass himself off as more 'Russian'.

THE ALIEN ELEMENT

In Britain today aliens likewise form a disproportionately large element among those engaged in violence, whether this be for personal or political gain. Muggings are an example of the former, the 1981 riots earlier referred to an example of the latter. The British, while excellent soldiers in war, are not a naturally violent people. When violence stalks our land, it has to be largely imported.

Another feature common to all countries where violence rules is the weakness and flabbiness of established authority. The historian Taine said of the ruling classes in France before 1789 that they succumbed to the revolution because they were frightened of shedding blood — by which he meant that they were inhibited from using a firm hand to deal with the subversive forces in France but instead tried to 'appease' them. It was no different with the rulers of Tsarist Russia; they sent subversives like Lenin, Stalin and Trotsky to comfortable exile in Siberia, from which they could continue to organise and eventually escape, instead of doing what they should have done: have them shot.

It is no different in Britain now: there is no death penalty for terrorist murderers, while sentences for lesser crimes are ridiculously soft. The IRA gangsters, when they are caught, go to The Maze Prison, the most comfortable and cushy in the UK. Muggers and rapists often walk out of the courts with 'conditional discharges' or 'suspended sentences'. The ones that do go to jail have a ridiculously short stay there.

One man who was recently convicted of murdering his three small daughters was given a jail sentence of — **six years!** He could be out in four.

KID GLOVE TREATMENT

Kid gloves are not only used in the realm of punishment of violent thugs and murderers when they are caught; they are also used in things like 'riot

control'. Whether it is Blacks rioting in Brixton or coal-strikers trying to intimidate those at the pits going to work, police are given ridiculously inadequate equipment and powers to deal with them. Only a small fraction are ever arrested, while in the meantime nothing is done to bring to justice the organisers and perpetrators who egg the rioters on from behind the battle lines.

To put it briefly and simply: authority in Britain has gone soft.

Part of this softness lies in a mental confusion that has overtaken the governing classes of Britain and those journalists and 'educators' who do much to create the political climate. In this confusion there is no clear distinction between those who are merely exercising the right to disagree and those who are out to bring the nation to its knees by organised violence and disruption of vital national works and services. All are considered to qualify equally for the rights that are supposed to be part of our 'democracy'.

Yet to the ordinary man in the street who has to suffer the consequences of disorder the difference between the two is a matter of plain common sense.

In fact the violent, the disruptive and the subversive have no 'rights'; they need to be dealt with with an iron hand and, bit by bit, eliminated from our society.

These then are the principal ingredients of violence, recurring again and again throughout history: subversive forces out to destroy the nation and which those in authority cannot and will not distinguish from ordinary dissenters; a large alien presence of people who do not identify with the nation, have no roots in the nation and entertain no loyalty to the nation; finally, softness on the part of the ruling powers — the collapse of the will to rule.


Add to these things the foolishness of government in trying to carry out policies which will not work and you have a further ingredient of trouble. Recent British governments have created this ingredient by their promotion of the 'multi-racial' society in what was once a homogeneous country and by a succession of bad and futile policies in the British economy.

BLAME LIES WITH GOVERNMENT

In the last analysis the blame for violence in Britain must rest with government — or, to put it more accurately, lack of government. All the violent ingredients in our society are within the power of government to eliminate, curb or remedy by way of sensible policies backed by firm action. Recent governments have supplied none of these things, and this is why Britain has now got out of control.

Things are not going to get any better; they will only get worse — until those in Westminster who have proved unfit and unwilling to govern and rule get out and make way for others who will.

BRITAIN OUT OF CONTROL



IRA supporter throws rock in Belfast riot



Black mob attacks police in London, 1981



Working Yorkshire miner after attack by picket gang



New-look police; riot-control gear now necessary

HIDING THE TRUTH

VICIOUS CRIMINAL violence has turned life for vast numbers of British people, especially in the inner city areas, into one long nightmare.

The influx of millions of non-white aliens into Britain has without any doubt been a major factor in the destruction of law and order. As is shown in the most recent figures issued by the Metropolitan Police, giving the ethnic breakdown for crime on London's streets, up to 70 per cent of muggings are committed by coloured immigrants, mainly of West Indian background.

The break-up of our own way of life through the establishment in our midst of large communities of coloured peoples who do not and never can share our standards of behaviour, has been not only permitted, but **DELIBERATELY ENCOURAGED AND PROMOTED** by those in power. This alien invasion of Britain, the first to take place for a thousand years, and the gradual displacement of the indigenous British following on from it, is the policy of those who rule us.

In addition to the efforts of the multi-racialist careerists and traitors of all the established parties, both in Parliament and local authorities, to grind down our own British people with race laws and preferential treatment for coloured immigrants, other weapons are being used to crush white resistance. One of the most powerful of these is silence.

In communist Russia the press and mass media do not report crime, aircraft hijackings, or natural disasters (which are not supposed to occur in the "Workers' Paradise"). In multi-racial Britain the fearless journalists of Britain's "free press", TV and radio have been drilled to suppress, as far as possible, the facts of coloured immigrant crime. Journalists are required by the "colour code", imposed by the left-wing and communist-dominated National Union of Journalists upon its members, to avoid mention of a criminal's colour, race or nationality unless it is "strictly relevant" to a story.

In view of the huge over-representation of blacks among the muggers (the average black is up to twenty times as likely as the average white to commit this type of crime), and the fact that the majority of the victims are white, to omit the racial factor in this vicious pattern of crime in order to suggest that the criminals come just as much from the white population, is misrepresentation amounting to lies. A sinister category of crime is primarily due to the presence of an alien immigrant population, without which that category of crime would barely exist in our society. The racial identity of these criminals is therefore **ALWAYS RELEVANT**. If it is not mentioned an important part of the truth is being suppressed.

The politicians impose laws to deprive whites of freedom of speech. Their allies in the media practise deliberate falsification by simultaneously portraying blacks and Asians as poor, unfortunate victims of "white racism" and concerning the extent to which, in reality, black violence rules our big cities.

The aim is to deprive the British people of a voice so that they are left powerless to protest as they are ground into the dirt by the multi-racialist tyranny.

Race crisis in Bradford (1)

MR. RAY HONEYFORD, the Bradford headmaster who wrote an article in *The Salisbury Review* exposing the appalling consequences of multi-racialism in his city's schools, has been made the victim of a vicious campaign of persecution by the anti-British traitors of the Tory and Labour parties. Acting as lackeys of the enormous Moslem Pakistani element which they have allowed to dominate the city, the old parties are ganging up to give these aliens privileged status over local whites and to silence opposition.

Mr. Honeyford's offence was to point out that under the multi-racialist tyranny of Bradford's Tory-controlled Education Committee white indigenous children were rapidly becoming the disadvantaged minority ("the true minority") in state schools. Increasingly the system was being swamped by an alien mass for whom English is merely a second language. Under such circumstances the learning of British children was being seriously hampered.

INFLUENCE OF RACE LOBBY

Behind the process lies the evil influence of the city's "race relations" lobby, dominating both Tory and Labour parties. Honeyford holds this responsible for the prevailing climate of intolerance and persecution.

This pro-immigrant lobby has set to work to give special privileges to Pakistanis at the expense of white British youngsters. As a means of transmitting British culture and the British way of life the schools are being deliberately undermined.

This is being done in such a way that white customs and beliefs have to

HEADMASTER HOUNDED BY RACE-MIXERS

be modified to accommodate the demands of the Moslem population without the latter having to make any concessions at all. Under the city's "multi-cultural" education policy, Moslem pupils are to be provided with meat obtained by the inhumane *halal* method of ritual slaughter. Heads must adapt assemblies to suit the religion of Moslem pupils, who are also exempted from the requirement to wear school uniform in favour of their own "traditional dress".

Most outrageously, key education staff, including head teachers, must take "race awareness courses", whilst "racism" among white teachers and pupils is to be a grave disciplinary offence. The British way of life is being driven out.

The Tory-controlled Council and Education Committee set about finding ways and means of ousting Mr. Honeyford at an early stage. Their method initially was to "buy him off" with a golden handshake.

In the subsequent persecution of Mr. Honeyford the malignant and perverted multi-racial fanatics of the Labour party and the left have in effect had an alliance with the Tories to bring down the man who has challenged their dogmas. According to the Labour group's race spokesman on the Council, if Mr. Honeyford disagrees with the multi-racialist line he should simply be removed.

Meanwhile, on the streets the left is stirring up the alien mob. Spokeswoman for this campaign, one Jenny Woodward, is described as a 30-year-old single parent, who claims that it is Pakistani culture that is being "denigrated"! This unscrupulous agitation

has been pushed by a vicious coalition of mosque leaders, the local Community Relations Council, and left-wing and communist vermin from the National Union of Teachers.

THREATS OF VIOLENCE

Both fraud and threats of violence have been used. 200 parents of children at Mr. Honeyford's school were induced to sign identical letters calling for his removal. It now appears that many were lied to about what they were putting their names to. For telling the truth about conditions in multi-racial schools, Mr. Honeyford says that he



Above: Mr. Ray Honeyford, the teacher who dared to speak out, below: Asian mob demonstrates in Bradford in demand for his dismissal.



has been "made the object of violent attacks and threatened with professional ruin."

The reaction of the Tory Council has been to give him six months "to win the confidence of parents."

This is "multi-racial democracy" at work. Aliens are given absolute freedom to turn our cities into enclaves where they can dominate the political

machinery by sheer numbers. Britons who wish to preserve their way of life from disintegration as a result of this unwanted intrusion are allowed no freedom to protest, their political leaders abandon them for their own advantage as they grub for alien votes or, like the traitors of the Labour party and the left, work up the alien mob for revolution.

Race crisis in Bradford (2)

MARGARET THATCHER and the Tory party came to office in 1979 pretending to be concerned about the fears of so many Britons that they were being swamped by aliens.

The cynicism and phoneyism of those electoral noises has been displayed by much that has occurred in the past 5 years as Britain has degenerated still further into a lawless multi-racial slum, and the Thatcher government has replied with weak and cowardly appeasement of non-whites. Nowhere is this better shown than in Bradford's current race crisis.


It was the Tory Chairman of the City's Education Committee, Peter Gilmour, who took the first steps to oust headmaster Ray Honeyford from his teaching post for making remarks that the Asian community might find offensive. Gilmour, described in *The Times Educational Supplement* as a "Youthful, plump, smug, albeit intelligent, ex-estate agent turned local radio producer," was the man originally behind the aggressive anti-white educational policy of the local authority. To implement this he was prepared to adopt a high-handed attitude which ignored both the preferences and interests of white parents and children and the professional administrators of the educational system. His aim in doing this was to "defeat the system".

IT WAS IN JANUARY 1981 that the British people were first made aware of the Nissan proposal to build a car production plant in Britain. After long deliberation it was announced that Nissan would go ahead with its project and the Tyne and Wear Local Authorities pulled out all the stops to ensure that the plant would come to the North East, which was referred to as a depressed area as long ago as 1980.

On 25th February 1984 a delegation of Nissan visited the Washington site, special welcome signs in Japanese were displayed and special Japanese-style floral exhibits were used to help the delegates "feel at home". In fact nothing was spared in order to create a relaxed and friendly atmosphere in which to conduct negotiations.

The long-awaited announcement came on the morning of 30th March

TORIES CRAWL TO GET ASIAN SUPPORT



Bradford City Hall, stronghold of the race traitors

This was too much even for some of his Conservative ward members, who refused to campaign for him when he came up for re-election. One councillor accused him of being pre-occupied with "currying favour with the off-white community." Gilmour duly lost his seat.

In Gilmour's view, headmaster Honeyford could best be eliminated by being "bought off" financially to quit his teaching post. Since Gilmour's

electoral defeat and removal from office this has remained the Tory line, together with the application of new pressures. The Tories have calculated Mr. Honeyford's price at something like £100,000, but the headmaster stands by his principles, saying: "We must all take the risk of telling the truth."

Gilmour's pro-Pakistani "multi-cultural" policy has been continued in a more low key, subtle and stealthy manner by his successor, Cllr. Eric

Pickles. Pickles told a Tory party conference that the party's aim should be to go all out for the Asian vote. He persuaded Bradford Tories of the electoral advantages of taking up "race relations" — in practice, putting non-whites first.

The Chairman of the powerful Bradford Council of Mosques, Sher Azam, has said that in fact Pickles is no less committed to his policy than Gilmour.

RUNNING AFTER ASIAN VOTES

Pickles is thought to have ambitions for higher political office, and is anxious to make a splash in his present job. Asian block votes are politically advantageous in Bradford's multi-racial democracy, whilst the Conservative party nationally is currently grovelling for Asian financial backing and electoral support. The Tory party leadership is keeping a close eye on Bradford as a testing-ground for the electoral advantages of pro-black, anti-white policies — the only standard which counts being the most efficient way of manipulating the political system to keep the perks and privileges of office for themselves, without regard for the interests of the British nation.

NISSAN PHASE OUT PHASE ONE

1984 when a Sunderland site was chosen as the location of the £50 million Nissan New Car Production Plant. It was probably this announcement which prompted Mr. Ken Usher to write the excellent short article in *British Nationalist*: "Keep Nissan out of Britain," in which he refers to the Phase One proposal whereby Nissan planned to employ 400-500 people and produce 24,000 cars a year from Japanese kits.

It has now been announced that Nissan will go straight into Phase Two which is its option to spend a further £300 million in order to increase production to 100,000 cars from 1991. This means employment for some 3,000 people instead of the Phase One

number.

It was known at the outset that Nissan was looking for a foothold into the European market and not merely to dominate the British market. The site is significant due to its close proximity to the major sea port of Sunderland, offering good access to Europe.

Nissan's announcement to phase out Phase One and its claim of a no-strike agreement with its employees will place other car producers like Austin-Rover, Jaguar, Vauxhall, etc. at a distinct disadvantage. Even now Austin-Rover is in the embarrassing position of opening up a number of sales outlets in Germany only to be beset by industrial problems and the manage-

ment warning "that jobs will be lost if they (employees) start a prolonged strike." Should we really be surprised if car retailers in Britain and in Europe prefer to deal with Nissan, with its advantageous guarantee of supply?

The British people have for too long suffered insult and humiliation as a result of wholly bad advice from the "macro-economic experts" upon which government policy is enacted; and now, a further humiliation for 3,000 people, for that is their choice. Which is the greater humiliation: unemployment or working for foreign interests?

Only the most wicked of minds could conceive of such a plan of treachery that encourages foreign concerns to take over or build industrial plants in Britain and enter into special

Contd. on back page

NOW THE JEWS WANT TO CENSOR OUR HISTORY!

Rabbis join clamour to stop book praising great British King

HOWLS OF PROTEST have come from prominent Jewish spokesmen over a textbook on mediaeval English history, used by thousands of British sixth-formers, which has been highly praised for its scholarship by many reviewers. The book, *The Plantagenets*, by John Harvey, is now in its eighteenth printing.

The passages which have provoked the hysterical denunciation of prominent rabbis, including the Chief Rabbi, and of Zionist propagandist Dr. Martin Gilbert, concern King Edward I, the great monarch who attempted the unification of Britain and has long been a figure hated by Jews for his expulsion of the Jewish community from England in 1290.

In Mr. Harvey's careful and detailed account: "It must be remembered, in speaking of the Jews of 13th-century England, that their numbers were small and that within their own ranks they were a highly organised community.

"Added to economic difficulties caused by the presence of this alien body was a series of most sinister crimes committed against Christian children, including murder (allegedly ritual) and forcible circumcision. Whatever we may think of the evidence in favour of 'ritual murder' (its existence in modern times among the Indian Thugs is fully substantiated), a number of instances of mysterious child-murder undoubtedly did occur in 12th- and 13th-century England, at least 10 being well authenticated between 1144 and 1290.

"Whatever the merits of the case, it is difficult to doubt the statesmanship of Edward's decision to remove the whole Jewish community..."

JUST RESETTLEMENT

Mr. Harvey goes on to point out that Edward carried out this policy in an orderly way and with "scrupulous justice", punishing the

murderers of departing Jews.

The Times Literary Supplement has said of the book: "Mr. Harvey uses only facts that are accepted by the best contemporary scholarship and he does not distort them."

This was not good enough for the spokesmen of the present-day Jewish minority in Britain.

According to Rabbi Hugo Gryn of West London Synagogue, this scholarly piece of history-writing may have the effect of "fostering prejudice among young people" against the Jews. To Jewish historian Mr. Martin Gilbert this account of Jewish evil-doing can only be "a lapse of historical judgement." To Rabbi Gryn, the duty of a "responsible historian" is not to tell the historical truth so much as to portray the Jews as being always the poor, labelled, persecuted innocents. By implication our own people, in their relations with the Jews, must always be vicious persecutors.

We Gentiles are prohibited any

criticism of the Jews, no matter how the usury and subversion practised by certain Jews may undermine our own way of life. That would be "anti-semitism". Meanwhile Jews are left free to lay any charge they like and commit any libel they please against their Gentile hosts. They claim the right to denounce us for "anti-semitism" to their hearts' content. Their right to practise ANTI-GENTILE-ISM is unlimited.

'ANTI-SEMITISM!'

Speaking of Mr. Harvey's account, Chief Rabbi Sir Immanuel Jakobovits whines: "It is in line with other examples of the violent anti-semitism so prevalent in the history of Jewish suffering." Coming from the senior religious representative of a tiny minority in our midst (which enjoys power, wealth, and privilege beyond anything known to most of our own people), who



KING EDWARD I

even sports a British knighthood, this repulsive, arrogant and insulting humbug can only stimulate the "anti-semitism" that these Jewish spokesmen profess to fear.

Teachers eject police from school to please Blacks

TEACHERS from the infants' school in the overwhelmingly black Stoke Newington area of the east end of London recently ejected three senior policemen from a public meeting on the school premises. The subject of the meeting was "Police in Schools". Among the officers involved was Hackney Superintendent Alan Dorricott, present in his rôle as "Community Liaison Officer" with special responsibility for the police schools programme. This would appear to be one of the "race relations" posts which have mushroomed in the police force, since the Brixton riots and the Scarman Report, as a part of the go-easy-on-blacks policy.



BRIAN SIMONS
In fore-front of anti-police campaign

Teaching staff at the Stoke Newington school had agreed a policy against the police long before the meeting from which Superintendent Dorricott and his men were so humiliatingly expelled. This stipulated that there were to be no in-school contacts between pupils and police. The reasons given are that "the police are racists, and that the black community has a deep-rooted distrust of them."

Significantly, the teachers at the school are said to be determined to stick to this policy until such time as the police are "made accountable to an elected local authority." This is the current party-line of the revolutionary left-wing extremist elements which



SUPT. DORRICOTT:
Told to leave

have been agitating among coloured immigrants for years, and which have gained control of both the GLC and many inner-city boroughs.

Superintendent Dorricott whines that he has been excluded from schools as a result of "unfair and biased criticism" against the police.

He evidently does not understand the first fact about the multi-racial set-up in which he is required to work.

The spokesman for the "Hackney Teachers' Association", one Brian Simons, has been at the forefront of the anti-police campaign. He is said to have been a close friend of the half-caste communist agitator, Blair Peach, who got himself killed in 1979 whilst inciting a Pakistani mob to attack the police in Southall. Hackney NUT's anti-police policy dates from that event.

Simons makes it clear that nothing the police can do will enable them to ingratiate themselves with his Association or the black community. Despite their knock-kneed, lily-livered "community policing", they are, he states, "becoming more openly racist." He has no time for any initiatives whatsoever that the police might take. However feeble the methods they might adopt "in the interest of race relations", they win no points with him or his kind. As he says, pointblank, "There is nothing that the police could do to convince me that their attitudes had changed."

Superintendent Dorricott, and officers like him, should consider that not only can they not appease red subversives like Brian Simons, who are out to smash the system of which he and his colleagues are a part by means of violent revolution. In addition, the black mobs, which these latter-day Trotskys aim to throw against the police and white society in general, are not impressed by the wimpish weakness towards them of the present-day Met.

In their Caribbean and African homelands, blacks have only ever been effectively ruled by terror. They respect and respond to nothing but brute physical power, exercised over them without compromise. Weakness encourages them to violence.

If the revolutionary left has its way the police will be the first to be butchered in the mob uprisings that communists use to seize power.

Any policeman who believes he can conduct his operations like "Dixon of Dock Green" in a multi-racial environment, controlled by communist agitators like the little maggot who runs Hackney Teachers' Association, is living in cloud cuckoo-land.

NEGROPHILE COUNCILLOR THREATENED BY BLACK MOB


A HUGE FURORE recently occurred in Liverpool over the appointment of a 'race relations' officer by the far left-controlled City Council. Although the officer appointed was, needless to say, black, his appointment did not satisfy a number of the local West Indian population, as he came from London



BOND
Appointment opposed

and was thought not to have sufficient knowledge of Liverpool.

The officer appointed was Guyanese-born Samson Bond. His job was to head the large and expensive 'race



HATTON
Terrified

relations' unit in Liverpool, maintained on ratepayers' money to grant special favours to the coloured population. The office, like others of its kind all over the country, is a highly lucrative one, carrying a salary of £14,000 a year.

After news of Mr. Bond's appointment, a mob of local Blacks occupied the office of Derek Hatton, deputy leader of the Council, and by a process of threats and intimidation got him to agree to cancel the appointment. This really was a hilarious development, for Hatton is one of the biggest Negrophiles on Merseyside, having spent his political career championing black causes. The frightened Mr. Hatton learned in the course of the occupation and threats that these particular Blacks felt no gratitude for his efforts on their behalf!

The Blacks' triumph, however, was short-lived. The Council, after hearing

of the conditions under which Hatton's promise to cancel the appointment was extracted, duly confirmed that the appointment was still on, and that Bond would get the job.

Bond's opponents have not given in, however. They now plan to get the co-operation of local trade unions and other bodies in a campaign of non-cooperation with Bond which they hope will make his performance of his job impossible. The local branch of NALGO has already agreed that Bond will be 'blackened' (pardon the pun!).

It always causes us the greatest of amusement to see the practitioners of the race relations industry fall out among themselves, and no less amusing is to see immigrant-lovers like Derek Hatton on the receiving end of immigrant wrath. There just is no pleasing these people!

We now wait to witness the latest act of this ludicrous saga of 'race relations' in Liverpool. Will Samson Bond keep his job? If so, how will he manage to perform it? Or will the local black mafia make the job untenable? Watch this space for further news!

YOUR LETTERS

Sir,—In all the fuss about the Keith Joseph bill to make better off parents pay more for their sons' and daughters' higher education one important thing seems to have been forgotten.

We should surely want students of the best intelligence and ability to receive the best education. A very large number of these, though by no means all of them, come from homes that might be termed 'middle class', i.e. where the father (and perhaps the mother too) have been successful in their lives and earned good incomes. The Joseph bill was just asking such people to pay a penalty for their ability and success, and had it gone through it would have brought a further lowering of the quality of students at our universities and colleges. The Tory backbenchers were right to oppose the bill, but for national not class reasons.

I, by the way, am of working class background.

R. FEATHER,
Selby, Yorks.

Sir,—Having been into Republican homes and districts in Belfast and seen the overcrowding due to their breeding habits, peeling wallpaper, and general dirt and untidiness, and then seeing your picture of a cell in the Maze Prison, I can only say that when an IRA man gets sent to jail he is moving upwards socially, as far as his accommodation, meals, laundry and companions are concerned.

It is not so many years back that they used to keep pigs and other livestock in their houses.

I suppose that is why some of them smear excrement on the cell walls, to make themselves feel more at home.

JERRY HARDY,
Blackpool, Lancs.

LONDON 1984

Pictured here are the Sinjuns School under 13 and under 15 soccer teams from Wandsworth. Don't bother to count the Blacks — it's easier to count the Whites.



Fine result in Sunderland


B.N.P. CANDIDATE WINS 11.7 PER-CENT OF POLL

SUNDERLAND B.N.P. well and truly put itself on the map by a really excellent election result in the Thorney Close ward of the town in which the BNP candidate George Bainbridge obtained 109 votes (11.7 per-cent of the poll) in a straight fight with Labour.

This was the best Nationalist election result for a long time and it contrasts particularly vividly with recent National Front results in GLC by-elections, where the NF's best result was 1.7 per-cent of the poll and the others were worse. London has long been an NF hunting ground while the BNP is completely new to Sunderland.

The Sunderland result shows the electoral potential of the BNP, which was not fairly put to the test in the General Election of 1983, when the resources of the party were so stretched

fighting over 50 seats to qualify for broadcasting time that in most seats no literature or back-up campaign was possible. The Sunderland vote was achieved by a very small team but a very active one. In addition to George Bainbridge himself, much work was put in by Sunderland Organiser Kevin Scott and Tyneside Organiser Bill Owen.



GEORGE BAINBRIDGE
Won 11.7 per-cent of poll in straight fight with Labour.

London ALL-NATIONALIST YULETIDE SOCIAL

with
DISCO - FANCY DRESS COMPETITION - FULL BUFFET

Sat., December 29th, from 7 p.m.

*Rendezvous for redirection: Bishopsgate
entrance to Liverpool Street Station, E.C.2*

Tickets at door:
£2.50 EACH

BRING YOUR FRIENDS!

GLASGOW TRIUMPH

BNP St. Andrew's Day rally best ever event in Scotland


THE GLASGOW RALLY staged by the British National Party on the 24th November proved to be the best ever party event in Scotland and indeed the most successful British Nationalist event North of the Border within memory.

Just on 100 people crowded into the hall in the centre of the city to hear speeches by party Chairman John Tyndall, National Activities Organiser David Bruce and Glasgow Organiser Eric Brand. Another excellent speech was made by a local member who for personal reasons wishes to remain anonymous. The theme of the meeting was 'Scotland and Ulster - British forever!' The speeches condemned Scottish separatism and the ambiguous policies of successive British governments in Northern Ireland, which have loyalists there feeling betrayed. Other issues, however, were brought in, particularly the issue of race and immigration, with emphasis on the coming racial threat to Scotland seen

in Asian infiltration in the Glasgow area. It was this issue which mainly caught the interest of a BBC team present at the meeting to film material for a programme on the spread of 'racism' in Scotland.

The meeting ended in tremendous enthusiasm and was followed by a pleasant informal social in a nearby pub during which new friendships were forged. The attendance was mainly from Glasgow and surrounding areas but contingents did travel north to the meeting from London, Tyneside and the Midlands. North of England activists (Geordies apart) disappointed everyone by not coming. Had they pulled their fingers out, the rally would have been even more successful. BNP units in Yorkshire and Lancashire are usually among the party's best. This was one of their off-days.

These small criticisms apart, a most successful activity and one which augurs extremely well for the future of the BNP in Scotland.



John Tyndall speaks in Glasgow

BOOKS

THE B.N.P. BOOK SERVICE is now in operations and is fast expanding its range of books. Send for the latest booklist to: P.O. Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

YOUNG NATIONALIST

Read *Young Nationalist*, the paper for nationalist youth. Send 20p for sample copy to: 16 Vale Lodge, Perry Vale, London S.E.23.

BNP Recordings

RALLY '82

Speeches from the BNP national rally in London on October 16th 1982, the theme of which was 'Unite and fight for Britain's future!'

Side 1: Speeches by Charles Parker and Ray Hill; Side 2: Speech by John Tyndall.

VOICES OF NATIONALISM

Side 1: Recordings of speeches from the Nationalist Unity rally in London on September 5th 1981. Hear John Tyndall, Ray Hill, Kenneth McKilliam, Len Bearsford Walker and others. Side 2: Talk by John Tyndall on the coming British revolution.

TYNDALL SPEAKS I

Side 1: Talk on 'Our Anglo-Saxon heritage' (about the world-wide dispersal of the peoples of British stock); Side 2: Talk on 'Britain's economic crisis'.

TYNDALL SPEAKS II

Side 1: Talk on 'The case for Nationalism' (the internationalist argument demolished); Side 2: Talk on 'Tragedy of the 20th Century' (analysis of the results of the Second World War).

These 4 sets of recordings are presented in cassette form and are available at £3.50 each (with 18p postage) from: BNP Recordings, Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of Nationalist policies read *Spearhead*, a 20 page monthly magazine which supports the British National Party. Price 50p. Annual subscription £8.00. 63p for sample copy to: *Spearhead*, PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

BUY BRITISH NATIONALIST IN BULK

British Nationalist retails at 12p from your local *seller. Would bulk purchasers please order only in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.


10 copies £1.20 + 34p post
25 copies £2.70 + 98p post
50 copies £4.80 + £1.72 post
100 copies £8.40 + £2.10 post
150 copies £12.00 + £2.30 post
200 copies £15.00 + £2.50 post
300 copies £21.00 + £2.65 post
400 copies £26.40 + £2.95 post
500 copies £32.00) Bulk rates
1,000 copies £62.00) by roadline

Cheques/postal orders to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *British Nationalist* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

Northern activists stage Remembrance parade in York

A VERY SUCCESSFUL Remembrance Day parade and rally were held in York on Sunday, the 11th November. About 100 were in attendance on this Northern regional activity, almost all of them from the North of England. A

wreath was laid on a local war memorial and then there was a dignified march through the city to an indoor rally, which was addressed by Tony Braithwaite, Ian Sloan, David Owens, Stanley Clayton-Garnett and John Wood.



Kenneth McKilliam takes service at war memorial

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *British Nationalist* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £3.45 for 12 issues for subscribers in the British Isles. For subscribers overseas the rate is £4.00 for 12 issues (surface mail). Please make cheques/POs payable to *British Nationalist* and send to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

An additional charge of £3.00 is required on all foreign cheques.

Name

Address

NISSAN PHASE OUT PHASE ONE

(Contd. from page 2)

advantageous agreements with British employees, thus working for foreign interests, often aided by government grants. This is at the expense of the British taxpayer, effectively creating unfair competition in the market place to the detriment of British concerns. Very soon the choice will be simple:

unemployment or foreign employment.

Instead of getting to grips with the real cause of our economic ills, government officials make fine rhetoric about "The greatest social evil facing this country," and then continue a further cut-down of British industry.

In the Britain that we want for the future will be found, in the private sector of industry, no Nissan or Patel or Hakim etc., etc., only British firms wholly owned and controlled by British people.

WHAT WE STAND FOR

- The return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs.
- A government that will put British interests first.
- British withdrawal from the European Common Market.
- Closer links with the White Commonwealth.
- Protection of British industry by the selective exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain.
- A sane financial system which will give to the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce.
- The revival of British farming.
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources.
- A clamp-down on social security spongers and the restoration of the incentive to work.
- An end to immigration and a start to repatriation.
- British support of the Whites in South Africa.
- An end to financial aid to black dictatorships.
- The strengthening of Britain's defences.
- Tougher treatment of criminals.
- The smashing of the IRA, the death penalty for terrorists and firm support for the Loyalist majority in Northern Ireland.
- Repeal of the laws permitting Abortion and Homosexuality and a general toughening up of legislation against the promotion of obscenity in the media.
- The elimination of Communism in Britain, in the mass media, in the factory and in the schoolroom.

WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 115, Hove, East Sussex BN3 3SB
Tick appropriate box

Please send me more information for which I enclose 20p. ☐

I wish to become a Full Member and enclose £6.00 (Half-rate for students and OAPs) ☐

I wish to become an Associate Member and enclose £3.00 (No half-rate) ☐

Name

Address